IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)
TUESDAY, THE TWENTY NINTH DAY OF MARCH
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MS JUSTICE G.ROHINI

-

Writ Petition No. 6795 of 2005

Between:

Smt. B.Menaka, W/o.B.Giddaiah, House Wife,

R/o. H.No.11/120, Anakala Street,

Veldurthy village and Mandal, Kurnool District.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1. The District Collector, Kurnool District.
2. The Mandal Development Officer, Veldurthy Mandal, Kurnool District.
3. The Mandal Revenue Officer, Veldurthy Mandal,

Kurnool District.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue a writ, order or direction, preferably in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the respondents in proceeding to lay 25 ft. width road by digging the soil on both sides of the road for road formation in the petitioner land situated in Sy.No.417 to an extent of 2.28 acres, in Sy.No.432/1 to an extent of 1.32 acres, Sy.No.418/1 an extent of 0.49 acres, Sy.No.418/3 an extent of 0.61 acres, Sy.No.419 an extent of 0.64 acres and Sy.No.418 an extent of 0.53 acres totaling 5.87 acres of Veldurthy village and Mandal, Kurnool District without initiating land acquisition proceedings and paying compensation to petitioner as illegal, arbitrary and violative of Articles 14, 19, 21 and 300-A of the Constitution of India and consequently direct the respondents not to interfere and lay the road in the petitioner land until the compensation is paid by initiating proceedings under Land Acquisition Act.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR. K.Rathanga Pani Reddy

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.1 & 3 : Govt. Pleader for Revenue.

Counsel for the Respondent No.2 : Mr. Jyothi Eswaraiah, Standing Counsel.

The Court at the stage of admission made the following :

ORAL ORDER :

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned standing counsel appearing for the second respondent.

The petitioner seeks a Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the respondents in proceeding to lay 25 feet width road by digging the soil on both sides of the road for road formation in the petitioner land situated in Sy.No.417 to an extent of 2.28 acres, Sy.No.432/1 to an extent of 1.32 acres, Sy.No.418/1 an extent of 0.49 acres, Sy.No.418/3 and extent of 0.61 acres, Sy.No.419 an extent of 0.64 acres and Sy.No.418 an extent of 0.53 acres totaling 5.87 acres of Veldurthy village and Mandal, Kurnool District, without initiating land acquisition proceedings and paying compensation to petitioner as illegal, arbitrary and violative of Articles 14, 19, 21 and 300-A of the Constitution of India and consequently direct the respondents not to interfere and lay the road in the petitioner land until the compensation is paid by initiating proceedings under the Land Acquisition Act.

It is represented by the learned counsel appearing for both parties that the issue involved in this writ petition is squarely covered by a decision of this Court in W.P.
No. 21198 of 1997 and batch, dated 03.02.1998, wherein the Division Bench while considering an identical question, issued guidelines as under:

1. Where a property is required for public purpose viz., widening of roads or for any other purpose, the authorities straightaway cannot take law into their hands and jump into the premises, dispossess or evict the occupants or demolish the property.

2. If the authorities concerned want to take action for eviction/dispossession of the occupants or demolition, they shall issue 60 days' prior notice notifying as to the nature of the property, the property to whom it belongs and the proposed action calling for explanation/objections if any from the occupants. On receipt of

such objections, if there is any objection as to the ownership of the property, the authorities should determine the extent of encroachment of unauthorized occupation and also determine whether the property in question belongs to Government/any other local self Government or authority or the occupants and pass appropriate orders within three months from the date of receipt of the objections, after affording an opportunity of being heard to the occupants.

3. In case where demolition of private property has already been made, the authorities shall determine the damages on the basis of the assessment to be made by the Engineer of the Roads and Buildings Department and disburse the amount of damages quantified forthwith.

4. If the demolition is in respect of local bodies' Government property, the question of damages does not arise. In such an event, the occupant shall be entitled to remove the material used for the construction.

5. In case of demolition of private property, the authorities concerned shall determine the loss caused to the occupants on the basis of the assessment to be made by the Engineer of R & B Department and on such assessment of damages, the concerned authorities shall take appropriate steps to disburse the amount quantified forthwith.

6. If there is any claim for grant/assessment of the property, the concerned authorities shall consider the same in accordance with law taking into consideration the eligibility and the entitlement of occupants, after making enquiry and till such claim is decided, there shall be status-quo obtaining as on today regarding the structures.

The learned standing counsel appearing for the second respondent does not dispute the above legal position.

Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of directing the respondents not to dispossess the petitioner from the land situated in Sy.No.417 to an extent of 2.28 acres, Sy.No.432/1 to an extent of 1.32 acres, Sy.No.418/1 an extent of

0.49 acres, Sy.No.418/3 an extent of 0.61 acres, Sy.No.419 an extent of 0.64 acres and Sy.No.418 an extent of 0.53 acres, totaling 5.87 acres of Veldurthy village and Mandal, Kurnool District, without following the guidelines issued by the Division Bench of this Court in W.P.No.21198 of 1997 and batch, dated 03.02.1998. No costs.

_____

G.ROHINI, J.

Dated: 29th March, 2005.

CS

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To

1. The District Collector, Kurnool District.
2. The Mandal Development Officer, Veldurthy Mandal, Kurnool District.
3. The Mandal Revenue Officer, Veldurthy Mandal,

   Kurnool District.
4. Two C.Cs. to the Government Pleader for Revenue, High Court Buildings, Hyderabad. (O.U.T.)

5. Two C.D. copies.